

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

CLIFFORD RUSH,)	4:12CV3094
)	
Petitioner,)	MEMORANDUM
)	AND ORDER ON INITIAL
v.)	REVIEW OF THE PETITION
)	UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2254 FOR
MICHAEL THURBER, Director,)	WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS BY A
)	PERSON IN STATE CUSTODY
Respondent.)	

An initial review of the Petition Under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 for Writ of Habeas Corpus by a Person in State Custody (filing no. [1](#)) has been conducted to determine whether the claims made by the petitioner, Clifford Rush (“Rush”) are, when liberally construed, potentially cognizable in federal court. Rush has made one claim.

Condensed and summarized for clarity, the claim asserted by Rush is:

Claim One: Rush was denied due process of law in violation of the Fourteenth Amendment *because* his current incarceration is unconstitutional and “in bad faith” due to the prosecution’s withholding of evidence favorable to Rush, including the “video tape chemical test.”

Liberally construed, I preliminarily decide that Rush’s claim is potentially cognizable in federal court. However, I caution that no determination has been made regarding the merits of this claim or any defenses thereto or whether there are procedural bars that will prevent Rush from obtaining the relief sought.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. Upon initial review of the petition (filing no. 1), I preliminarily determine that Rush's claim, as set forth in this memorandum and order, is potentially cognizable in federal court.

2. The clerk of the court is directed to mail copies of this Memorandum and Order on Initial Review of the Petition Under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 for Writ of Habeas Corpus by a Person in State Custody and the Petition Under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 for Writ of Habeas Corpus by a Person in State Custody to the respondent and the Nebraska Attorney General by regular first-class mail.

3. By July 6, 2012, the respondent shall file a motion for summary judgment or state court records in support of an answer. The clerk of the court is directed to set a pro se case management deadline in this case using the following text: July 6, 2012: deadline for the respondent to file state court records in support of answer or motion for summary judgment.

4. If the respondent elects to file a motion for summary judgment, the following procedures shall be followed by the respondent and Rush:

- A. The motion for summary judgment shall be accompanied by a separate brief, submitted at the time of the filing of the motion.
- B. The motion for summary judgment shall be supported by such state court records as are necessary to support the motion. Those records shall be contained in a separate filing entitled: "Designation of State Court Records in Support of Motion for Summary Judgment."

- C. Copies of the motion for summary judgment, the designation, including state court records, and the respondent's brief shall be served upon Rush *except* that the respondent is only required to provide Rush with a copy of the specific pages of the record which are cited in the respondent's brief. In the event that the designation of state court records is deemed insufficient by Rush, Rush may file a motion with the court requesting additional documents. Such motion shall set forth the documents requested and the reasons the documents are relevant to the cognizable claims.
- D. No later than 30 days following the filing of the motion for summary judgment, Rush shall file and serve a brief in opposition to the motion for summary judgment. Rush shall submit no other documents unless directed to do so by the court.
- E. No later than 30 days after the filing of Rush's brief, the respondent shall file and serve a reply brief. In the event that the respondent elects not to file a reply brief, he should inform the court by filing a notice stating that he will not file a reply brief and that the motion is therefore fully submitted for decision.
- F. If the motion for summary judgment is denied, the respondent shall file an answer, a designation and a brief that complies with terms of this order. (*See* the following paragraph.) The documents shall be filed no later than 30 days after the denial of the motion for summary judgment. The respondent is warned that the failure to file an answer, a designation and a brief in a timely fashion may result in the imposition of sanctions, including the release of Rush.

5. If the respondent elects to file an answer, the following procedures shall be followed by the respondent and Rush:

- A. By July 6, 2012, the respondent shall file all state court records which are relevant to the cognizable claims. *See, e.g., [Rule 5\(c\)-\(d\) of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts](#)*. Those records shall be contained in a separate filing entitled: “Designation of State Court Records In Support of Answer.”
- B. No later than 30 days after the filing of the relevant state court records, the respondent shall file an answer. The answer shall be accompanied by a separate brief, submitted at the time of the filing of the answer. Both the answer and brief shall address all matters germane to the case including, but not limited to, the merits of Rush’s allegations that have survived initial review, and whether any claim is barred by a failure to exhaust state remedies, a procedural bar, non-retroactivity, a statute of limitations, or because the petition is an unauthorized second or successive petition. *See, e.g., [Rules 5\(b\) and 9 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts](#)*.
- C. Copies of the answer, the designation, and the respondent’s brief shall be served upon Rush at the time they are filed with the court *except* that the respondent is only required to provide Rush with a copy of the specific pages of the designated record which are cited in the respondent’s brief. In the event that the designation of state court records is deemed insufficient by Rush, Rush may file a motion with the court requesting additional documents.


Such motion shall set forth the documents requested and the reasons the documents are relevant to the cognizable claims.

- D. No later than 30 days following the filing of the respondent's brief, Rush shall file and serve a brief in response. Rush shall submit no other documents unless directed to do so by the court.
- E. No later than 30 days after the filing of Rush's brief, the respondent shall file and serve a reply brief. In the event that the respondent elects not to file a reply brief, he should inform the court by filing a notice stating that he will not file a reply brief and that the merits of the petition are therefore fully submitted for decision.
- F. The clerk of the court is directed to set a pro se case management deadline in this case using the following text: **August 6, 2012:** check for the respondent to file answer and separate brief.

6. No discovery shall be undertaken without leave of the court. See [Rule 6 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts](#).

Dated May 23, 2012.

BY THE COURT

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Warren K. Urbom", is written over a horizontal line.

Warren K. Urbom
United States Senior District Judge